

NON-EXAM ASSESSMENT POLICY

<u>Approved/Reviewed by</u> – Alex Hook (Head of School) Date of Next Review – July 2025

Contents

What does this policy affect?	4
Purpose of the policy	4
What are non-examination assessments?	4
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff role and responsibilities	
The basic principles	4
Task setting	5
Issuing of tasks	6
Task taking	6
Supervision	6
Advice and feedback	6
Resources	6
Word and time limits	7
Collaboration and group work	7
Authentication procedures	7
Presentation of work	7
Keeping materials secure	7
Task marking – externally assessed components	8
Conduct of externally assessed work	8
Submission of work	8
Task marking – internally assessed components	9
Marking and annotation	9
Internal standardisation	9
Consortium arrangements	9
Submission of marks and work for moderation	10
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks	10
External moderation - feedback	10
Access arrangements	11
Special consideration	11
Malpractice	12
Enquiries about results	12
Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England Erro Bookmark not defined.	or!
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed fo use in England	
Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments.	15

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'."

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- *define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments*
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking."

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of centre

- Ensures that the centre's *non-examination assessment policy* is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against an internal assessment decision

Senior leaders

 Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments which comply with NEA and awarding body subject specific instructions • Ensure the center-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year.

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject head/lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the nonexamination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed <u>NEA</u>
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate component/unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

Exams officer

• Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Task setting

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensure that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

Task taking

Supervision

Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates -</u> <u>non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates - Social Media</u>
- Ensure candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents *Information for candidates*

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and take it into account in the marking or submit it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place

- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs the exams officer

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

• When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)

- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Secure storage instructions are followed
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for enquiries about results or until the outcome of an enquiry or any subsequent appeal has been conveyed to the centre
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

IT Manager

• Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the EO regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
- Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations

Submission of work

Subject teacher

• Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

Exams officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work

- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Subject teacher

- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed in a timely manner to enable an internal appeal to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards

Consortium arrangements

Subject head/lead

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- Liaises with the EO to ensure form JCQ/CCA is submitted to the awarding body for each exam series affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

Subject teacher

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline

Exams officer

- Arranges completion of form JCQ/CCA <u>Centre consortium arrangements for centre -</u> <u>assessed work</u>
- Submits form JCQ/CCA to the deadline for each exam series affected
- Submits marks to the awarding body deadline

- Where relevant, liaises with other consortium exams officers to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline
- Where relevant (as the consortium lead), retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Exams officer

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a backup procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Exams officer

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation - feedback

Subject head/lead

• Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

Exams officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements

Subject teacher

• Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator(SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements</u> <u>and Reasonable Adjustments</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualifications and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Work with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate
 - is absent
 - produces a reduced quantity of work
 - work has been lost
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments

Exams officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special</u>
 <u>consideration process</u>
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale

- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to report to the relevant awarding body any suspected cases of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and</u> <u>Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u>

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and</u> <u>candidates' work</u>
- Ensures candidates understand of the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates -</u> <u>non-examination assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document<u>Information for candidates -</u>
 <u>Social Media</u>

Exams officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and</u> <u>Assessments: Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and</u> <u>candidates' work to subject heads</u>
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of suspected malpractice

Enquiries about results

Head of centre

• Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an enquiry about results request or not supporting an appeal following the outcome of an enquiry about results

Subject head/lead

 Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline

• Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required

Exams officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post Results Services</u>, <u>Information and guidance for centres</u>
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

Exams officer

• Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass* or *Not Classified* assessment

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

 Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes

• Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams officer

• Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

Management of issues and potential risks associated with nonexamination assessments

lssue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior	
failure/corruption of task details	to start of course	DLB and DRO
where set task details accessed	IT systems checked prior to key date	
from the awarding body online	Alternative IT system used to gain access	
	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	
Centre set task: Subject teacher	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training	
fails to meet the assessment	information, practice materials etc.	SLT Link
criteria as detailed in the	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting	
specification	arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification	
	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not understand	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in	
the marking criteria and what	the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual	SLT Link
they need to do to gain credit	candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates	
	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence	
absence during the task setting	at key points in the exam cycle	DLB
stage		DED
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy specification given	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between	
to candidates undertaking new	requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and requirements/tasks for	SLT Link
specification	new specifications	Subject Leaders
specification	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Subject Manage
Awarding body set task not	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the	Subject Manage
issued to candidates on time	specification noted prior to start of course	SLT Link
issued to candidates on time	Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task	Subject Leaders
	will be issued and needs to be completed by	Subject Manage
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing	Subject Manage
	and teaching	
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding	
candidates	body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to	SLT Link
caluluates	candidates	Subject Leaders
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Subject Manage
	Awarang body galaance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Subject Manage
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence	
absence during the issuing of	at key points in the exam cycle	DLB
tasks stage		
Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	
other centre or candidate	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	SLT
activities	השבשאות מענכא אבווטע ווו נעוגר אוער נעופוועעו	JLI
Rooms or facilities inadequate for	Timotabling organized to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for	
candidates to take tasks under	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course	DLB
appropriate supervision		

	-	
	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates	
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the	
	same time (exam conditions do not apply)	
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ	
candidates to enable work to be	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	DLB to send our
authenticated	and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's	policy
	specification in relation to the supervision of candidates	
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as	
	detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected of	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for	
malpractice prior to submitting	conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are	DLB
their work for assessment	followed	Subject Leaders
	An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary	Subject Manage
	procedures are followed	SLT
Access arrangements were not	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	
put in place for an assessment	special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine the process to be	DLB
where a candidate is approved	followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	SENCO
for arrangements		
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record	
advice and feedback not given by	all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the	SLT Link
subject teacher prior to starting	centre's quality assurance procedures	Subject Leaders
on their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to	Subject Manage
	confirm monitoring activity	Teaching Staff
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates	
	prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and	
	component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting	
	on their work	
Candidate claims no advice and	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record	
feedback given by subject	all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking	SLT Link
teacher during the task-taking	stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	Subject Leaders
stage	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off	Subject Manage
	to confirm monitoring activity	Teaching Staff
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates	
	during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and	
	component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-	
A third party daims that	taking stage	
A third party claims that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are	SLT
assistance was given to	interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given	DLB
candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the	
the regulations and specification	awarding body	
Candidate does not reference	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before	
information from published	work is submitted for formal assessment	Teaching staff
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	reaching stall
source	candidate is again referred to the JCQ document information for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources	
	etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	l

		<u></u>
Candidate does not set out	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out	
references as required	of references before work is submitted for formal assessment	Teaching staff
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources	
	etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate joins the course late	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch	
after formally supervised task	up	Teaching staff
taking has started		1000
Candidate moves to another	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done	DLB to transfer
centre during the course	depending on the stage at which the move takes place	
An excluded pupil wants to	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the	
complete his/her non-	specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education	SLT
examination assessment(s)	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are	
	made separately for the candidate	
Resources		
A candidate augments notes and	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept	
resources between formally	secure between formally supervised sessions	Teaching staff
supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and	
	kept secure between formally supervised sessions	
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	restricted between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to acknowledge	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources	
sources on work that is	etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites	Teaching staff
submitted for assessment	and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the	
	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding	
	body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the	
	awarding body for the candidate	
Word and time limits		
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to	
awarding body for exceeding	determine if word or time limits are mandatory	Teaching staff
word or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from	
	exceeding them	
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word	
Collaboration and group work	or time limits is known and understood	
Collaboration and group work Candidates have worked in	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to	
groups where the awarding body	determine if group work is permitted	SLT
specification states this is not	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	JLI
permitted	Awarding body gardance cought time to the test of the	
Authentication procedures		
A teacher has doubts about the	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	
authenticity of the work	document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work	On website
,	accument reachers sharing assessment material and candidates work	
submitted by a candidate for	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ	
-	_	
submitted by a candidate for	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ	

Candidate plagiarises other	outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
material	examination assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ	
authentication	document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	Teaching staff
statement/declaration	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to	
	comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a	
	candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not available to	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign	
sign authentication forms	authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of	SLT
	the centre's quality assurance procedures	
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting	
complete the awarding body's	the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Subject Leaders
cover sheet that is attached to		Subject Manage
their worked submitted for		
formal assessment		
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between formal	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ	l .
supervised sessions is not	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	Secure storage i
securely stored	Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure	each departmer
	storage	
Adequate secure storage not	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to	
available to subject teacher	subject teacher prior to the start of the course	SLT
	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	
Task marking – externally assessed		
A candidate is absent on the day	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	
of the examiner visit for an	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate	DLB
acceptable reason	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request	
	submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	
	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Teacher in charg
		of Examiner visi
	1	
Task marking – internally assessed	components	
Task marking – internally assessed A candidate submits little or no		
	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as	Teacher in char
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body	
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed	Teacher in char, and/or DLB
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately;	
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of	
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	
A candidate submits little or no work A candidate is unable to finish	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the	and/or DLB
A candidate submits little or no work A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	and/or DLB
A candidate submits little or no work A candidate is unable to finish	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the	and/or DLB

Candidate malpractice is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for	
discovered	conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are	DLB
	followed	SLT
	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication	
	Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed	
	Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	
A teacher marks the work of	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a	
his/her own child	teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course	010
	Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of	DLB
An automaion to the deadline for	the sample requested or not	
An extension to the deadline for	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted	
submission of marks is required	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	DLB
for a legitimate reason	special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the	
After submission of marks, it is	process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension Awarding body is contacted for guidance	
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	DLB
was given to candidates	special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the	DLB
was given to candidates	process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	
A candidate wishes to appeal the	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their	
marks awarded for their work by	work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body	Teaching Staff
their teacher	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks	DLB
	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change	010
	through the awarding body's moderation process	
	Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the	
	internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks	
	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of	
	the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an	
	appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work for	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the	
formal assessment not met by	start of the course	Teaching staff
candidate	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood	DLB
	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to	
	determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the	
	awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met	
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be	
	accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding	
	body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting marks	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic	
and samples of candidates work	year	DLB
ignored by subject teacher	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach	SLT
	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at	
absence during the marking	key points in the exam cycle)	DLB
		DLD

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations

The policy is next due for review in July 2024

The exams policy will be reviewed by the Head of Centre, Vice Principal and Exams Officer.

Head of centre: Alex Hook

Exams officer: Dawn Bell